$w = zc \sin \beta$. The deviations of all the ring atoms from this plane are given in Table 3.

The most striking feature of the structure is the short Pd–S bond of 2.23 Å. However, the Pd–O bond, although shorter than the 2.10 Å observed by Shkol'nikova et al. (1973), appears normal in comparison with the 2.02 Å reported by Ito. Marumo & Saito (1971) for a Pd-O bond trans to N. Compared with the structure of the present ligand with Ni (Coetzer & Boeyens, 1971), it is once again the short Pd-S bond that stands out. The 2.14 Å Ni-S bond is not far from corresponding lengths of bonds trans to either S or N, and hence the present effect finds no easy explanation in terms of backbonding and/or trans effects. The cis arrangement that occurs persistently in the chelates of monothiodiketones is probably related to this effect, and further studies are indicated.

The structural formula of the complex is best represented by



This paper is published by permission of the Director General of the National Institute for Metallurgy.

References

- CHASTON, S. H. H., LIVINGSTONE, S. E., LOCKYER, T. N., PICKLES, V. A. & SHANNON, J. S. (1965). *Aust. J. Chem.* 18, 673–689.
- COETZER, J. & BOEYENS, J. C. A. (1971). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 1, 277-283.
- ITO, T., MARUMO, F. & SAITO, Y. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1062–1066.
- SHKOL'NIKOVA, L. M., YUTAL, YU. M., SHUGAM, E. A. & KNYAZEVA, A. N. (1973). *Zh. Strukt. Khim.* 14, 92–97.

Acta Cryst. (1976). B32, 1601

2-Ethoxycarbonylimino-2H-1,2,4-thiadiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine Monohydrate

By Ivan Leban

Chemistry Department, University of Ljubljana, 61000 Ljubljana, Yugoslavia

(Received 8 December 1975; accepted 19 January 1976)

Abstract. $C_9H_9N_3O_2S.H_2O$, a product of the oxidative cyclization of *N*-ethoxycarbonyl-*N'*-(2-pyridyl)thiourea by bromine in acetic acid [Koren, Stanovnik & Tišler, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* (1975), 7, 55–59] is monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a=6\cdot310$ (4), $b=37\cdot269$ (22), $c=4\cdot568$ (4) Å, $\beta=100\cdot44$ (3)°, $V=1056\cdot5$ Å³, $D_m=1\cdot52$ (5) g cm⁻³ (flotation), Z=4, $D_x=1\cdot517$ g cm⁻³, at 20 (1)°C. The molecule is almost planar, except for the ethoxy group which is bent out of the plane, and exhibits a very strong $S\cdots O$ interaction of $2\cdot294$ (5) Å, thus suggesting the presence of a single bond-no bond resonance structure.

Introduction. The compound crystallizes as transparent needles from toluene and dimethylformamide (3:1). The systematically absent reflexions (0k0; k=2n+1 and h0l; l=2n+1) on Weissenberg photographs and the centric distribution of |E| values ($\langle E^2 \rangle = 1.000$; $\langle |E^2 - 1| \rangle = 1.020$; $\langle |E| \rangle = 0.776$) indicate space group $P2_1/c$. Unit-cell dimensions were obtained from a least-squares fit of the 2θ values of 15 reflexions measured on a CAD-4 diffractometer [Mo $K\alpha_1$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.70926$ Å, t = 20 (1)°C].

A single crystal with approximate dimensions $0.3 \times 0.1 \times 0.5$ mm was used for data collection on an automatic computer-controlled Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 four-

circle diffractometer with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation and a graphite monochromator. Reflexions were scanned in the ω -2 θ mode (moving crystal-moving counter) at different rates to obtain a minimum net count of 5000 within a specified maximum scan time of 60 s. The background counts were taken at each of the scan limits for $\frac{1}{4}$ of the scan time. The 2θ scan width in degrees was 0.6 + 0.2 tan θ and the aperture was 2.4 + 0.20.9 tan θ . The complete sphere of reflexions (7707 intensities in all) in the range $1.0 < \theta < 25.0^{\circ}$ was measured. The symmetry-related reflexions were averaged (mean discrepancy on I=5.2%) to yield 1901 independent reflexions. Of these, 972 with $I > 3 \cdot 0 \sigma(I)$ were considered observed and were included in the refinement. The values of $\sigma(I)$ were based on counting statistics. Absorption corrections were not made $[\mu(M \circ K\alpha) = 2.882 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$. The structure was solved by direct methods with MULTAN (Declercq, Germain, Main & Woolfson, 1973). An E map with the highest combined figure of merit CFOM (2.00) obtained with the unit weighting of ABSFOM (1.13), ψ_0 (2772) and RESID (31.13), computed with 300 phases (|E| > 1.42) gave initial coordinates for all the heavy atoms. The structure refinement was by full-matrix least squares, minimizing the function $\sum w(F_o - F_c)^2$, where the weighting function was determined empirically:

where

 $w = w_F w_S$,

$w_F(F_o < 10.0) = (F_o /10.0)^2$
$w_F(F_o > 50.0) = (50.0/ F_o)^4$
$w_F(10.0 < F_o < 50.0) = 1.0$

and

$$w_{s}(\sin \theta < 0.25) = (\sin \theta / 0.25)^{2}$$

$$w_{s}(\sin \theta > 0.40) = (0.40 / \sin \theta)$$

$$w_{s}(0.25 < \sin \theta < 0.40) = 1.0$$
.

Anisotropic temperature factors for the non-hydrogen atoms were adopted. Difference Fourier syntheses revealed all the H atoms at the expected positions. The H atom positions were refined, but their assumed isotropic thermal parameters were kept constant throughout. The final *R* value, $R = \sum |\Delta F| / \sum |F_o|$, and the weighted *R* value, $R_w = [\sum w (\Delta F)^2 / \sum w F_o^2]^{1/2}$, were 0.056 and 0.067 respectively. The final difference electron-density map was featureless. Atomic scattering factors for H were those of Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965) and for other atoms those of Cromer & Mann (1968). The real and imaginary parts of the dispersion correction for S were those of Cromer (1965). All calculations were performed on the CDC CYBER 72 computer at RRC Ljubljana. The X-RAY 72 system of crystallographic programs (Stewart, Kruger, Ammon, Dickinson & Hall, 1972) was used during the refinement and the final interpretation of the results.

Table 1 lists the final coordinates and thermal factors. Bond distances and angles are illustrated in Fig. 1. The numbering of atoms is different from the IUPAC convention.*

Discussion. Several crystal structures of heterocyclic compounds displaying $S \cdots O$ interactions have re-

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31634 (8 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England. cently been determined by X-ray diffraction [see Lynch, Mellor & Nyburg (1971) for a concise list]. Mellor & Nyburg (1971*a*, *b*), Amirthalingham & Muralidharan (1972) and Gilardi & Karle (1971) have also reported the structures of compounds with fairly strong $S \cdots O$ interactions. The intramolecular distance $S \cdots O$ in these compounds varies from 2.41 to 2.96 Å with only two exceptions; a value of 1.879 Å was found in the oxygen analogue of a thiathiaphthene (Gilardi & Karle, 1971) and a 2.034 Å distance was detected in



Fig. 1. (a) Bond lengths and (b) angles in the molecule with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Table 1. Final fractional coordinates and thermal parameters with standard deviations in parentheses Coordinates are multiplied by 10⁴ for non-hydrogen and by 10³ for hydrogen atoms. The anisotropic and isotropic temperature

factors are expressed in the form $\exp\{-2\pi^2[U(11)h^2a^{*2}+2U(12)hka^*b^*+...]\times10^{-3}\}$ and $\exp[-8\pi^2U(\sin\theta/\lambda)^2\times10^{-3}]$ respectively.

	x	У	Z	U(11)	U(22)	U(33)	U(12)	U(13)	U(23)
S	8142 (3)	1028 (1)	1094 (4)	46 (1)	43 (1)	40 (1)	10 (1)	5 (1)	-6(1)
O(1)	6288 (7)	639 (1)	3596 (10)	55 (3)	50 (3)	51 (3)	13 (2)	14 (2)	-1(2)
O(2)	3464 (8)	725 (Ì)	5864 (11)	57 (3)	44 (3)	52 (3)	6 (2)	16 (2)	3 (2)
O(3)	4138 (9)	2300 (1)	2866 (11)	91 (4)	54 (3)	39 (3)	15 (3)	19 (3)	1 (2)
N(1)	9050 (8)	1405 (1)	-554(11)	40 (3)	51 (4)	26 (3)	7 (3)	1 (2)	-3(2)
N(2)	6297 (8)	1653 (2)	1378 (11)	43 (3)	45 (3)	33 (3)	3 (2)	2 (2)	-4(3)
N(3)	4756 (9)	1192 (2)	3768 (12)	46 (3)	51 (4)	41 (3)	7 (3)	5 (3)	1 (3)
C(1)	6179 (10)	1316 (2)	2224 (13)	44 (4)	42 (4)	25 (3)	5 (3)	-2(3)	-5(3)
C(2)	7889 (10)	1702 (2)	- 184 (13)	37 (3)	52 (5)	28 (3)	4 (3)	2 (3)	-3(3)
C(3)	8431 (11)	2024 (2)	-1411 (15)	47 (4)	46 (4)	46 (4)	3 (3)	1 (3)	-6(3)
C(4)	10087 (11)	2030 (2)	- 2946 (15)	46 (4)	54 (5)	51 (4)	-4(3)	5 (3)	5 (3)
C(5)	11255 (11)	1715 (2)	-3726(16)	41 (4)	71 (6)	46 (4)	-4 (4)	4 (3)	-5(4)
C(6)	10705 (10)	1407 (2)	-2086(14)	40 (4)	66 (5)	33 (4)	8 (3)	0 (3)	12 (4)
C(7)	4952 (11)	839 (2)	4338 (14)	45 (4)	44 (5)	37 (4)	3 (3)	2 (3)	0 (3)
C(8)	3520 (13)	349 (2)	6724 (15)	67 (5)	50 (5)	48 (4)	11 (4)	9 (4)	1 (3)
ciní	1636 (14)	277 (2)	8061 (19)	70 ČSÍ	61 (6)	72 ໄດ້	0 (4)	19 (4)	18 (4)

		•		
	x	у	Z	U
H(1)	413 (20)	243 (4)	126 (27)	89
H(2)	485 (15)	209 (3)	237 (20)	89
H(3)	757 (15)	223 (3)	-132(20)	63
H(4)	1055 (11)	224 (2)	-388(15)	63
H(5)	1252 (14)	172 (2)	-434(18)	63
H(6)	1156 (14)	119 (2)	-197 (18)	63
H(7)	369 (11)	22 (2)	484 (18)	76
H(8)	496 (18)	28 (2)	791 (20)	76
H(9)	165 (18)	5 (3)	895 (25)	101
H(10)	26 (21)	32 (3)	686 (28)	101
H(11)	149 (15)	46 (3)	1003 (22)	101

Table 1 (cont.)

3,5-epidithio-2-nitroso-1,5-diphenylpenta-2,4-dien-1one (Johnson & Paul, 1969). The common feature of all these heterocyclic systems is the nearly planar sulphur environment and consequently the presence of conjugation in the five-membered ring closed by the $S \cdots O$ interaction.

The crystal structure of the title compound (hereinafter CITP. H₂O) proved to be another example in the series of compounds with a very short S···O approach. The S···O(1) distance [2·294 (5) Å] and angles N(1)-S-C(1) [86·6 (3)°] and C(1)-S···O(1) [78·0 (4)°] suggest a very strong S···O interaction. However, the S···O distance is mainly influenced by the values of the angles S-C(1)-N(3) [121·3 (6)°], C(1)-N(3)-C(7) [113·4 (6)°] and N(3)-C(7)-O(1) [126·1 (7)°] as was shown by Kapecki, Baldwin & Paul (1968). Nearly the same geometry of a five-membered ring closed by an S···O interaction was found in 3,5-diacetamido-1,2dithiolium bromide (Hordvik & Kjoge, 1966).

Table 2. Least-squares planes

(a) Deviations from the least-squares planes. Deviations (Å × 10³) printed in bold type indicate atoms which were not used to define the plane.

			ГІ	1110			
	I	II	III	IV	v	VI	VII
S	18	8	-3		0	-2	
O(1)	25	- 5	-24			4	0
O(2)	12	- 38	-61				0
N(1)	7	-11	7	2	1		
N(2)	21	8	3		2		
N(3)	32	0	- 15			3	0
C (1)	25	6	-4		-1	0	
C(2)	4	4	2	0	-2		
C(3)	-13	-4	0	0			
C(4)	-34	-11	- 5	-1			
C(5)	-28	-3	1	2			
C(6)	-15	0	1	-3			
C(7)	. 24	-13	-32			-5	0
C(8)	44	-11	- 39				•
C(9)	-121	- 191	-222				

- (b) Equations of the least-squares planes. The equations are expressed in the form lx+my+nz=p where x, y and z are in Å.
 - Plane I $3 \cdot 0342x + 7 \cdot 4530y + 3 \cdot 4372z = 3 \cdot 5951$ II $3 \cdot 0817x + 7 \cdot 5648y + 3 \cdot 4086z = 3 \cdot 6521$ III $3 \cdot 0911x + 7 \cdot 6856y + 3 \cdot 3999z = 3 \cdot 6820$ IV $3 \cdot 0982x + 7 \cdot 7407y + 3 \cdot 3945z = 3 \cdot 6599$ V $3 \cdot 0757x + 7 \cdot 5991y + 3 \cdot 4108z = 3 \cdot 6594$ VI $3 \cdot 0519x + 7 \cdot 4797y + 3 \cdot 4270z = 3 \cdot 6311$
 - VII 2.9983x + 7.2355y + 3.4622z = 3.5933



Fig. 2. A view down the a axis showing the packing of the molecules in the crystal. Hydrogen bonds are marked with broken lines.

Several least-squares planes (Table 2) were calculated through the three fused-ring systems of CITP. H_2O and through some atom groups to obtain detailed information of the molecular shape and conformational features. The whole molecule as well as the separate ring systems is almost planar except for the ethoxy group O(2)–C(8)–C(9) which is rotated about the bond O(2)–C(8). The torsional angles C(7)–O(2)–C(8)–C(9), O(1)–C(7)–O(2)–C(8) and N(3)–C(7)–O(2)–C(8) are 173·9, 2·0 and 178·1° respectively. The dihedral angles between planes IV and V, and V and VI are 0·4 and 0·3° respectively.

The general features of the hydrogen bonding are shown in Fig. 2 viewed along the [100] direction. The water molecules in each case donate one proton to N(2) of the CITP molecules and the other one to another water molecule thus making an infinite zigzag chain of water molecules in the **c** direction. There are no unusually close van der Waals contacts between the other parts of the molecules.

I am indebted to Professor B. Stanovnik and M. Tišler for suggesting this problem and supplying the crystalline sample, and Professor L. Golič for many helpful discussions.

References

- AMIRTHALINGHAM, V. & MURALIDHARAN, K. V. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2417–2421.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17-23.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321–324.
- DECLERCQ, J. P., GERMAIN, G., MAIN, P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1973). Acta Cryst. A 29, 231-234.
- GILARDI, R. D. & KARLE, I. L. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1073-1078.
- HORDVIK, A. & KJOGE, H. M. (1966). Acta Chem. Scand. 20, 1923-1937.
- JOHNSON, P. L. & PAUL, I. C. (1969). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91, 781-782.

KAPECKI, J. A., BALDWIN, J. E. & PAUL, I. C. (1968). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 5800–5805.

- KOREN, B., STANOVNIK, B. & TIŠLER, M. (1975). Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 7, 55–59.
- LYNCH, T. R., MELLOR, I. P. & NYBURG, S. C. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1948–1954.
- Mellor, I. P. & Nyburg, S. C. (1971a). Acta Cryst. B27, 1954-1958.

MELLOR, I. P. & NYBURG, S. C. (1971b). Acta Cryst. B27, 1959–1963.

- STEWART, J. M., KRUGER, G. J., AMMON, H. L., DICKINSON, C. & HALL, S. R. (1972). The X-RAY System, Version of June 1972. Technical Report TR-192 of the Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Acta Cryst. (1976). B32, 1604

Monomethylurea: a Redetermination

By C. HUISZOON AND G. W. M. TIEMESSEN

Chemical Physics Laboratory, Twente University of Technology, P.O. Box 217, Enschede, The Netherlands

(Received 22 January 1976; accepted 26 January 1976)

Abstract. $C_2H_6N_2O$, orthorhombic, space group $P2_12_12_1$, a=8.4767 (6), b=6.9809 (5), c=6.9227 (6) Å at 20 °C. $D_m=1.204$ g cm⁻³ [Corey & Wyckoff, Z. Kristallogr. (1933), **85**, 132–142], $D_c=1.2007$ g cm⁻³ with Z=4; Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å); $R_w = 0.035$.

Introduction. An early investigation of the crystal structure of monomethylurea was carried out by Corey & Wyckoff (1933). As was concluded by these authors the structure they proposed might not be correct. This conclusion has been confirmed by the present work.

The crystal used was taken from commercially available monomethylurea (Fluka A. G., Switzerland). The linear dimensions of the crystal were about 0.4 mm. Data were collected at room temperature, 20 °C, with a computer-controlled single-crystal diffractometer (Philips, PW 1100) using graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å. The built-in program for the accurate determination of lattice constants (Hornstra & Vossers, 1973) was used. Each of the four sets of equivalent reflexions hkl, hkl, hkl, hklwas recorded in the θ range of 3 to 35° using the θ -2 θ scan mode, scan width 1.4°. Background counting was done during one half of the total scanning time at each side of the reflexion. In the refinement the weighted mean, \tilde{I}_{hkl} , of each set of four equivalent reflexions was chosen for the intensity of the reflexion *hkl*:

$$\tilde{I}_{hkl} = \left(\sum_{i} I_i / \sigma_i^2\right) / \left(\sum_{i} 1 / \sigma_i^2\right),$$

where the summations extend over the four equivalent reflexions and σ_i are the individual errors due to counting statistics. The standard deviation in I_{hkl} , σ , was calculated from the expression

$$1/\sigma = (\sum_{i} 1/\sigma_{i}^{2})^{1/2}$$
.

The structure was solved with the program MULTAN of Germain, Main & Woolfson (1971). The refinement was carried out with the program ORFLS of Busing, Martin & Levy (1962). First positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined. After the location of the hydrogen atoms in a difference Fourier map a complete refinement including atomic positions, anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms, isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogen atoms, a scale factor and an isotropic extinction factor (Larson, 1969) was carried through. The function minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - k|F_c|)^2$, where the summation extends over all reflexions having an intensity larger than twice their standard deviation due to counting statistics, k is the scale factor, $|F_{o}|$ and $|F_c|$ are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively. The weight, w, for each reflexion

Table 1. Atomic parameters for monomethylurea

The anisotropic temperature factor is of the form: exp $\left[-2\pi^2(h^2a^{*2}U_{11}+\ldots)2h(a^*b^*U_{12}+\ldots)\right]$ and the isotropic temperature
factor: exp $(-8\pi^2 U \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2)$. The positional parameters are $\times 10^4$ (for the H atoms $\times 10^3$). The thermal parameters for non-
hydrogen atoms are given in 10^{-3} Å ² , the values of U are in Å ² . Standard deviations are shown in parentheses and refer to the
last digits.

	x	у	z	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	<i>U</i> ₁₂	U ₁₃	U_{23}
C(1)	3305 (1)	8051 (2)	4727 (2)	37.8 (6)	39.7 (6)	43.6 (6)	- 3.5 (5)	4.7 (5)	4.7 (5)
O(1)	2000 (1)	8784 (1)	4337 (1)	40.0 (4)	56.4 (5)	54.4 (5)	5.2 (4)	-1.4(4)	2.0 (4)
N(1)	3982 (2)	8296 (2)	6459 (2)	48.7 (7)	74 (1)	49.1 (7)	12.8 (7)	- 3.5 (6)	-10.0(7)
N(2)	4087 (2)	6964 (2)	3460 (2)	50.3 (7)	61.6 (7)	51.3 (7)	11.6 (7)	-1.3(6)	- 7.7 (6)
C(2)	3476 (3)	6535 (3)	1571 (3)	101 (2)	75 (1)	56 (1)	23 (1)	- 10 (1)	- 19 (1)